UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,407	03/24/2004	Markus Berger	03100141US 3867		
	7590 04/04/2007 AL TEVES, INC.	EXAMINER			
ONE CONTIN	ENTAL DRIVE		KNABLE, GEOFFREY L		
AUBURN HILLLS, MI 48326-1581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1733		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/807,40	7.	BERGER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Geoffrey L		1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 January 200	7.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
/—	/							
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10 and 12-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9,12,14 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>10,13,15,16 and 20</u> is/are objecte	ed to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	N	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	"	5) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1733

- 1. Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 1-10-2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1-10-2007 (as noted above).
- Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In claim 1, line 10, "and" before "inner" should be "an".
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is indefinite for the same reasons set forth in the last office action. That is, in claim 14, it seems that "threads" was omitted after "reinforcing" and further, it is unclear what is meant by "vulcanizing" the reinforcing (threads) since presumably the threads are not vulcanizable and there is no reference to a material that would be vulcanizable (e.g. rubber).

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1733

6. Claims 9, 12, 17, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verbauwhede et al. (US 4,119,748).

Verbauwhede et al. is applied for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action. With the amendments to the claims to avoid the other prior art, claim 17 has been added to this rejection, it being considered that the suggestion for eccentric positioning requires that relative displacement to this position is effected.

- 7. Claims 1-4 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 10, 13-16 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

These claims are/would be allowable for the reasons advanced by applicant (with correction of the 112 rejection of claim 14).

9. Applicant's arguments filed 1-10-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as regards the remaining rejection.

With respect to Verbauwhede et al, it is argued that this reference is dealing with rigid structures and will not change shape after removal from the mandrel "unlike the elastomeric rubber of the present invention" and which "curvature will not be present until after the tube leaves the mandrel." These arguments have been carefully considered but are unpersuasive as they are not commensurate in scope with the noted claims. In particular, note that the relevant claims (unlike e.g. claim 1) do not require or define that the tubular structure is formed of elastomeric material and further do not indicate when or how the curvature is effected.

Art Unit: 1733

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1733

•

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

G. Knable March 31, 2007